Towards a Study of Δg_{HHH} vs. Jet Energy Resolution and Other SiD Performance Parameters

> Tim Barklow SLAC Nov 10, 2005





Standard Model:
$$M_{H}^{2} = 2\lambda v^{2} = -2\mu^{2}$$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZHH \rightarrow q\bar{q}b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}, \text{ L}=1000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $\Delta E/\sqrt{E} = 60\% \rightarrow 30\%$ equiv to $4 \times \text{ Lumi}$ C. Castanier et al. hep-ex/0101028





Not All $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ ZHH Diagrams Contain the HHH Coupling



SIMDET Analysis for $\sqrt{s} = 800 \text{ GeV}$, 1 ab⁻¹ by Battaglia, Boos, Yao hep-ph/0111276





Plan for Analyis

- We need to assign jets to parent bosons as best we can to suppress background to ZHH and to optimize M_{HH} resolution. Use ZVTOP for b-tagging and for a vertex charge analysis to distinguish b from bbar. Try to use H mass constraints (ΔM_{H} =60 MeV).
- At MH=120 GeV the bb BR is 70% so that only 50% of ZHH events are Zbbbb. We should therefore try to include other Higgs decays in analysis. Also include ZHH→l+l-HH, vvHH.
- Perform analysis with baseline SiD. Then vary ΔE_{jet} , vtx detector parameters, tracker parameters.

Progress with Tools

- LCIO Reconstructed Particles can be successfully read out in JAVA, C++ and FORTRAN.
- There is an interface from LCIO reconstructed particles to SIMDET common block so that SIMDET-based analysis code can be run on LCIO files with reconstructed particles (see http://www-sid.slac.stanford.edu/BenchMarking/ for details)
- The org.lcsim Fast MC is working, and the relationship between single particle energy resolution and jet energy resolution is now understood; features have been added to allow users to easily vary the jet energy resolution.

Perfect PFA : What theory predicts

- Jet energy resolution $\sigma^{2}(E_{jet}) = \sigma^{2}(ch.) + \sigma^{2}(\gamma) + \sigma^{2}(h^{o}) + \sigma^{2}(conf.)$
- Excellent tracker : σ²(ch.) << σ²(γ) + σ²(h⁰) + σ²(conf.)
- Perfect PFA : σ²(conf.) = 0

 $\sigma^{2}(\mathsf{E}_{jet}) = \mathsf{A}_{\gamma}^{2}\mathsf{E}_{\gamma} + \mathsf{A}_{h}^{2}\mathsf{E}_{ho} = \mathsf{w}_{\gamma}\mathsf{A}_{\gamma}^{2}\mathsf{E}_{jet} + \mathsf{w}_{ho}\mathsf{A}_{h}^{2}\mathsf{E}_{jet}$ $\sigma(\mathsf{E}_{\gamma,h})/\mathsf{E}_{\gamma,h} = \mathsf{A}_{\gamma,h}/\sqrt{\mathsf{E}_{\gamma,h}}$









Drop constant term in single particle resolution for now. Assume negligible contribution from charged particles to jet energy resolution and write

 $\sigma^{2} = (1 + \lambda(1 - r))^{2} A_{\gamma}^{2} w_{\gamma} E_{jet} + (1 + \lambda r)^{2} A_{h}^{2} w_{h} E_{jet} = c^{2} E_{jet}$ where c = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6

- r = hadronic resolution degradation fraction
- (r = 1 to only degrade hadronic resolution)
- r = 0 to only degrade em resolution)
- $A_{\gamma} = 0.18$ $A_{h} = 0.50$ $w_{\gamma} = 0.28$ $w_{h} = 0.10$

Given a desired jet energy resolution c the parameter λ is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{c^2 - A_{\gamma}^2 w_{\gamma} - A_{h}^2 w_{h}}{(1 - r)A_{\gamma}^2 w_{\gamma} + rA_{h}^2 w_{h}}$$

 $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$

r = 1.0
(only degrade
had resolution)



13

 $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$

r = 0.5

(degrade em & had resolutions with equal wgt)



14



 $\sqrt{s} = 100 \text{ GeV}$

r = 0.5

(degrade em & had resolutions with equal wgt)





 $\sqrt{s} = 1000 \text{ GeV}$

r = 0.5

(degrade em & had resolutions with equal wgt)



Next Steps

- Finish FORTRAN/C to JAVA interface so that hep.lcd ZVTOP can be called from FORTRAN90 analysis code.
- Incorporate Higgs Mass Constraints into Jet Energy Fitting Algorithm for 6 Jets (Currently it only has Beam Energy-Momentum Constraints)
- Start Optimizing Neural Network Analyses