

NLC Klystron Development

October 2, 2003

John Cornuelle 10/2/03

Naked 75 MW Klystron (No Magnets, No Lead, No Wires, No Water)



NLC Klystron Development





General Klystron Approach

- Base Program Must Provide a Usable Device with Little Advance Notice
 - Lack of a Viable Device Could Indicate NLC is "Not Ready"
 - Need to Obtain Industrial Suppliers Makes for a Long Lead-Time
- Undertake Smaller R&D Efforts on More Novel Devices
 - e.g. Multi-Beam Klystrons; Sheet-Beam Klystrons
- Work on Average Power, Not Peak Power
 - Historically Had Poor Results Chasing Peak Power
 - Increasing Peak Power Stresses Most All Areas of Tube
 - Average Power Stresses Thermal Issues Only in Just a Few Places
 - Generally Well-Understood and Tractable
 - Therefore, Lowest Relative Risk

Fundamental Background

- Klystron is Part of the Power Conversion Cycle
 - Converts Pulsed DC Power to Pulsed RF Power
- Large Quantity (4,464) Requires Either Permanent Magnet or SC Magnet Focussing
 - 110 MW if Use Electromagnet Solenoids
- Lowest Overall Collider Cost and Highest Reliability from Smallest Quantity of Klystrons
 - However, Peak Power in Single Device May be Limited to 75 MW
 - So, Extend the Klystron Pulse Width and "Store" the Power
 - Acceptably Efficient Systems Can Store the Power by Up to a Factor of Eight
 - At Snowmass, 1996 This Factor Was Five
 - At Snowmass, 2001 This Factor Was Eight
 - It is Now Four

Klystron Specification

- Pulse Width
 - With 270 ns of Bunch Train Length, 115 ns of Fill Time, and 15 ns to Switch Phase, Needed Pulse Width is 400 ns
 - Using a System that Can Delay (Compress) the Power by a Factor of Four Puts the Klystron Pulse at $4 \times 400 \text{ ns} = 1.6 \mu \text{secs}$
- Peak Power
 - Was 50 MW at Snowmass, 1996; Now 75 MW
 - Pretty Much Demonstrated That 100 MW is Impossible
- Repetition Rate
 - Set by Accelerator Physics 120 Hz
- Other
 - Average Power is 14,400 W (Product of the Above)
 - Efficiency Set to 55% (Highest Feasible With Voltage at 500 kV)
 - Frequency is Four Times SLC Frequency 11,424 MHz

Degree of Difficulty

- Microwave Tube Difficulty Scales as P_{ave}f²
 - 1.2 MW B-Factory Klystron at 476 MHz Scales to 2 kW
 - 65 MW S-Band SLC Linac Klystron Scales to 3 kW
- These Are Both Solenoid-Focussed Tubes
 - Solenoid to PPM Approximately Factor of Four in Difficulty
 - These Two Tubes Scale to Under One kW; 14.4 kW are Required
- Conclusion
 - This Tube on Paper is Beyond the State of the Art by Over a Decade
- Other Factors
 - For Maximum Range, All High Power Search Radars Using Klystrons Run at 3 GHz or Below
 - i.e. No Industry Experience at 11.424 GHz

X-Band Klystron Overview

- TRC Requirement:
 - No R1 Requirement (KEK PPM-2 Klystron is Existence Proof)
 - R2 Requirement
 - Fully-Tested at Full Repetition Rate
 - Tested as Part of Linac Sub-Unit Test
- Tubes to Date:
 - Four at KEK/Toshiba, Five at SLAC, Two Industrial
 - Built Over a Span of Six Years
 - Two Tubes Now at Test at SLAC
 - One Tube to Date That Meets Spec (All Key Parameters Concurrently)
- Tube Specification Will Change Over Time
 - Major Factors are Perceived Difficulty, Date Demonstration is Needed, Status of the Competition, Cost versus Risk Tradeoff

KEK Klystron Performance (**R1 Requirement for TRC**)



PPM Klystron Performance Joules per Pulse/Pulse-Width



^{10/2/03} Slide 10

Peak Power vs. Pulse-Width (SLAC 75XP1 Klystron)



PPM Klystron Performance Average Power



10/2/03 Slide 12

Current Status

All Usable X-Band PPM Klystrons

- KEK/Toshiba Tubes
 - PPM-2 at Test at SLAC
 - Maximum of 75 MW, 1.7 µsecs, 60 Hz
 - PPM-4 Having Windows Replaced
 - Did 75 MW, 1.6 µsecs, 50 Hz (Modulator Limit) at KEK
 - PPM-2 and PPM-4 are Effectively Identical
 - PPM-5 (New Tube) Due in December
- SLAC Tubes
 - XP3-3 at Test
 - Maximum of 75 MW, 1.6 µsecs, 120 Hz (Full Requirement)
 - XP3-4 to Begin Test in December
 - XP4 to Begin Test in May, 2004
 - XP3-4 and XP4 Will Have Integral Polepiece Bodies and Will be Nearly Identical

Status of Tubes at Test

- KEK PPM-2 is Processing Very Slowly
 - Very Slow to Initially Process
 - Much Work Done to Protect Output Windows (Generic Issue)
 - Electron Gun Now Having High Voltage Ticks/Breakdowns
 - Vacuum in Gun Region Degrading
 - Cathode Emission Degrading
 - Recovery Uncertain
- XP3-3 Has Periodic Break-Up/Tearing in Output Power Pulse
 - Being Re-Processed After Attempted Repair of the Above
 - Now About Half-Way Back (50 MW at 1.6 µsecs)
 - Problem Diminished But Still Present
 - May be Further Reduced/Eliminated With Processing Need More Time

NLC Klystron Development

XP3-3 Area of Concern (More Than You Want to Know)



PPM-2 Area of Concern (Also More Than You Want to Know)



10/2/03 Slide 16

Conclusions

- Any Conclusions are Tentative at This Stage, But -
- Although Device is Well-Beyond State of the Art
 - All Key Parameters Have Been Met
 - Performance Beyond That Required Has Been Demonstrated
 - Tubes From Industry Already Work
 - Problems That Appear Are Not Related to State of the Art
 - i.e. Tubes Do Not Seem to be on the Edge of a Technical Precipice
 - Toshiba Tubes Have Vulnerable Windows But SLAC Does Not
 - Holding Off Voltage in a Gun is Just Good Design and Good Manufacturing Practice
 - Exciting Unwanted Resonances Can Happen in Any Klystron

 Need to Isolate and Short Out or Loss Out

Plans

- PPM-2
 - Continue to Process to Maximum Performance
 - Rebuild if Necessary
- **PPM-4**
 - Send to SLAC for Additional Testing When Windows Rebuilt
- PPM-5
 - Not Yet Decided Who Will Test First
- XP3-3
 - Continue to Process to Maximum Performance
 - Reserve Tube for Two-Pack Modulator Due March, 2004
- XP3-4 and XP4
 - Reserve XP3-4 For Two-Pack Test