

# ECal Reconstruction and Photon Results with GEANT4

---

Toshinori Abe  
Masako Iwasaki  
Uriel Nauenberg  
Joseph Proulx

7/16/2002

7/16/2002

# Contents of this talk

---

- Introduction
- Photon reconstruction  
(by Dr. Iwasaki)
- Calorimeter tracking
- Staggered calorimeter geometry
- Summary and future

# Introduction

---


- Requirements from future linear collider
  - very good jet energy resolution
  - needs energy flow method
  - typical multi-jet event
    - chrg. part. carry 64% E → tracker
    - photon carry 25% E → EM cal.
    - neut. Had. carry 11% E → HAD cal.
- Calorimeter must be optimized for energy flow. → need full simulation study (GEANT4)

# Photon reconstruction (by Dr. Iwasaki)

---


# $\gamma$ selection by transverse information

- Extrapolate charged tracks to the cluster radius.
- Associate the nearest track to the cluster.




$\gamma$  selection:  
 $\Pi=48\%$   
 $\varepsilon=98\%$

# $\gamma$ selection by longitudinal information



# $\gamma$ selection by longitudinal information (cont.)

We determine the longitudinal  $\gamma$  shape by fitting.




# $\chi^2$ for the $\gamma$ assumption

- Overall  $\gamma$  selection performance with other selection:

$$\Pi = 85\%$$

$$\varepsilon = 85\%$$





# Mass reconstruction (no kin. con.)

GEANT4	W mass	error	Top mass	error
Track + $\gamma$	$67.1 \pm 15.9$ GeV	(28%)	$141.0 \pm 33.5$	(24%)
Track + $\gamma$ (true)	$70.2 \pm 16.9$	(24%)	$147.0 \pm 31.7$	(22%)
Track + $\gamma$ (true) + $h^0$ (true)	$77.2 \pm 15.1$	(20%)	$159.7 \pm 30.7$	(19%)



- True- $\gamma$ /selected- $\gamma$  difference ... 2~4%  
→ very good  $\gamma$  selection performance
- Adding the neutral hadron clusters  
→ can improve mass resolution 3~4%

# Calorimeter tracking

---


- Fine granularity of SD calorimeter ( $5 \times 5 \text{mm}^2$ ) makes enable tracking.
- Calorimeter may help track finding with tracking device and can significantly contribute to physics analysis (GMSB,...)
- We have checked the tracking performance using  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  and single photon events.

# Charge separation




- Sample is  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  @  $E_{cm} = 91.26 \text{ GeV}$

# Impact parameter resolution




- Impact parameter and momentum resolution must improve when the tracks link to hits in outer layer of tracking device.

# Line fitting of photon clusters



# DOCA resolution



10 GeV gamma  
from I.P.


# A new calorimeter geometry

---

Toshinori Abe, Uriel Nauenberg, and Joseph Proulx


- A very fine granular calorimeter shows excellent performance.  
→ But it is very expensive!
- U. of Colorado proposes a new calorimeter geometry to give energy flow calorimeter with reasonable cost.

# Staggered geometry







# Benefit of this geometry




# GEANT4 setup




# Position resolution (LD base)



# Position resolution (SD base)



# Energy vs. position resolution



# Summary

---

- Current SD detector design gives very good photon reconstruction.
- Calorimeter tracking is promising for SD.
- U. of Colorado starts study of a new calorimeter design.
  - full simulation and hardware study